

## United States Payent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 woon-uspin.gov

	FILING DATE	PIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 10/516,806	06/13/2005	Roger McMorrow	3998264-151581	9879
76	9n 10/24/2006		EXAM	INER
	Morris & Arthur		KASZTEJNA, MA	ATTHEW JOHN
Intellectual Proj	perty Department	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
41 South High S 28th Floor	Street		3739	•
Columbus, OH 43215-6194			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/516,806	MCMORROW, ROGER					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew J. Kasztejna	3739					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
	HE APPLICATION IN CONDITION:	LOW WITCH WARE					
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as ming a rectangle of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad		e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no				
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than DIXY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the period for which the period of which the period of which the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 been filed is the date for purposes or determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 been filed is the date for purposes or determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (2) the expiration date of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (3) the expiration date of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (3) the expiration date of the final Office action; or (3) as set for the final Office action; or (3) as set for the final Office action; or (3) as set for the final Office action; or (4) as set for the final Office action; or (4) as set for the final Office action; or (4) as set for the final Office action; or (4) as set for the final Office action; or (4) as set for the final Office							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 (nost be filed within the of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	ef, will <u>not</u> be entered	pecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require runner of	OUSIGE BROWN STRING SOCIETY (200 114	OTE below);					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>							
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1	.121, See attached Notice of Non-C	compliant Amendmen	(1 1 OL-024).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection( 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amend	ment canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(e): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4-6,9 and 23.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,7,8 and 10-22.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the single-kills are single-kills and sufficient reasons why the single-kills are single-kills are single-kills and sufficient reasons why the single-kills are single-							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a line, with <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections.							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why k is indeceding a good and sufficient reasons why k is indeceding a good and sufficient reasons why k is indeceding a good and sufficient reasons why k is indeceding a good and sufficient reasons why k is indeceded.  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance.							
because:		/(,	/ )				
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s	s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	$\sim$				
13. Other:		A LINE AN	. DVORAK				
MK	. 1/.	SUPERMISORY PAT					
اله.	610	cappea					

NOV-14-06 17:35 From:	NOV-1	4-06	17:35	From:
-----------------------	-------	------	-------	-------

T-340 P.15/19 Job-429

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states that claim 22 has been amended to include the ilmitations of prior claim 23, however claim 22 does not appear to have been amended and currently does not include the limitations of prior claim 23. Claim 22 remains identical to prior claim 22 submitted by the applicant April 10, 2006, thus the current rejection of claim 22 under Lee (U.S. 6,135,948) stands.